

5001+	2	26.00	2.30	4.00	104.00	4.60	108.60	54.30
-------	---	-------	------	------	--------	------	--------	-------

**COST PROXY MODEL**

PAGE 6.2

**CONDUIT ( \$ PER DUCT-FOOT ) - FRC 4C  
DISTRIBUTION**

<b>DENSITY</b>	<b>ALL TERRAINS</b>
0-10	9.50
11-50	9.50
51-150	9.50
151-500	9.50
501-2000	9.50
2001-5000	9.50
5001+	9.50

**ASSUMPTIONS**

- 1 ) The above investments per duct foot were developed as follows :

\$5.00	Trench
\$2.00	1 - 4" duct
\$2.50	Handholes
<u>\$9.50</u>	

- 2 ) Typical subdivisions with buried or underground plant would not be constructed in areas with other than "normal" digging conditions. This avoids inflating the distribution conduit costs because a CBG has other than NORMAL terrain digging conditions.
- 3 ) The \$5.00 trenching cost is the state wide average buried trenching cost from the PLAN/ESM cost deck and includes trenching, cut and replace, all restoration, engineering, travel time, etc.
- 4 ) The \$2.00 for the one 4" duct is the inplace cost and includes material costs as well as the labor for placing the duct in the trench,
- 5 ) The \$2.50 for handholes is the inplace cost and includes material costs as well as labor for placing ( \$1500 @ spaced at 600 feet).

**COST PROXY MODEL**

PAGE 7.0

**MODIFYING FACTORS  
UG COPPER AND FIBER ( FEEDER AND DISTRIBUTION )  
FRCs 5C AND 85C**

<b>DENSITY</b>	<b>ALL TERRAINS</b>
0-10	1.00
11-50	1.00
51-150	1.00
151-500	1.00
501-2000	1.10
2001-5000	1.20
5001+	1.40

**ASSUMPTIONS**

- 1 ) These factors modified the "A Cost" and "B Cost" by density zone.
  - Spotting of material in the less dense zones can be close to the work location. In denser areas, finding suitable areas close to the work location is difficult.
  - Heavier traffic which requires lane controls and well guarded work location is more frequently encountered in more dense areas.
  - Underground vaults are larger in denser areas thus pumping water out of vaults takes longer.
  - Work hour restrictions are necessary in denser areas due traffic congestion at commute hours. Its not uncommon to work nights due to city rules.
  
- 2 ) The placing of underground copper and fiber cables in conduit is impacted more by conditions caused by density than terrain

**COST PROXY MODEL**

PAGE 8.0

**MODIFYING FACTORS**  
**AERIAL COPPER AND FIBER ( FEEDER AND DISTRIBUTION )**  
**FRCs 12C AND 812C**

<b>DENSITY</b>	<b>ALL TERRAIN</b>
0-10	1.00
11-50	1.00
51-150	1.00
151-500	1.00
501-2000	1.10
2001-5000	1.20
5001+	1.40

**ASSUMPTIONS**

- 1 ) These factors modified the "A Cost" and "B Cost" by density zone.
  - Spotting of material in the less dense zones can be close to the work location. In denser areas, finding suitable areas close to the work location is difficult.
  - Heavier traffic which requires lane controls and well guarded work location is more frequently encountered in more dense areas.
  - Work hour restrictions are necessary in denser areas due traffic congestion at commute hours. Its not uncommon to work nights due to city rules.
  - In denser areas street crossings require more traffic control.
  
- 2 ) The placing of aerial copper and fiber cables on poles is impacted more by conditions caused by density than terrain

**COST PROXY MODEL**

PAGE 9.0

**MODIFYING FACTORS  
BURIED COPPER AND FIBER ( FEEDER AND DISTRIBUTION )  
FRCs 45C AND 845C**

<b>DENSITY</b>	<b>NORMAL</b>	<b>MED-DIF (ROCKS)</b>	<b>HIGH-DIF (ROCKH)</b>	<b>WATER</b>
0-10	0.80	1.17	1.50	1.50
11-50	0.90	1.26	1.51	1.51
51-150	1.00	1.24	1.45	1.45
151-500	1.00	1.27	1.55	1.55
501-2000	1.00	1.34	1.67	1.67
2001-5000	1.10	1.38	1.68	1.68
5001+	1.20	1.56	1.98	1.98

**ASSUMPTIONS**

- 1 ) These factors modified the "A Cost" and "B Cost" by density zone.
- Spotting of material in the less dense zones can be close to the work location. In denser areas, finding suitable areas close to the work location is difficult.
  - Heavier traffic which requires lane controls and well guarded work location is more frequently encountered in more dense areas.
  - Work hour restrictions are necessary in denser areas due traffic congestion at commute hours. Its not uncommon to work nights due to city rules.
  - In denser areas street crossings require more traffic control and restrict the footage of open trench available at a time.
  - Denser areas will require more repaving cost
  - Denser areas have much more substructure congestion (water, gas, sewer etc.)
  - Rocks and water increases labor proportional to the amount of water and the amount and size of the rocks.

**COST PROXY MODEL**

PAGE 10.0

**TERMINALS - INVESTMENTS PER LINE**

	TERMINAL \$		TERMINAL MIX		AVG INVEST	% 1 & 2	AVG INVEST
	BURIED	AERIAL	BURIED	AERIAL	SUB TOTAL	LIV.UNIT	SINGLE FAM.
	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
					(A*C)+(B*D)		E * F
DENSITY							
0 - 10	\$ 347.72	\$ 188.48	60%	40%	\$ 284.02	91%	\$ 258.46
11 - 50	\$ 311.51	\$ 166.89	63%	37%	\$ 258.00	90%	\$ 232.20
51 - 150	\$ 243.03	\$ 129.41	70%	30%	\$ 208.94	86%	\$ 179.69
151 - 500	\$ 176.24	\$ 93.27	70%	30%	\$ 151.35	80%	\$ 121.08
501 - 2000	\$ 85.86	\$ 45.44	85%	15%	\$ 79.80	74%	\$ 59.05
2001-5000	\$ 56.28	\$ 29.78	95%	5%	\$ 54.96	68%	\$ 37.37
5000+	\$ 33.49	\$ 17.72	98%	2%	\$ 33.17	47%	\$ 15.59

**ASSUMPTIONS**

- 1) Consolidation of construction garages adds to travel time in all zones.
  - a. Rural areas due to distance traveled.
  - b. Urban areas due to freeways and traffic congestion.
- 2) % ADL SOURCE - PARIS/FIMS
- 3) % SINGLE FAMILY SOURCE - 1990 CENSUS
- 4) MATL. COST SOURCE - NOVA
- 5) AERIAL/BURIED MIX BASED ON FORWARD LOOKING PLANT

**COST PROXY MODEL**

PAGE 11.0

**DROP INVESTMENT PER LINE**

	TERMINAL \$		TERMINAL MIX		AVG INVES	% 1 & 2	AVG INVEST
	BURIED	AERIAL	BURIED	AERIAL	SUB TOTA	LIV.UNIT	SINGLE FAM.
	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
					(A*C)+(B*D)		E * F
DENSITY							
0 - 10	\$ 183.85	\$ 171.75	60%	40%	\$ 179.01	91%	\$ 162.90
11 - 50	\$ 182.16	\$ 172.32	63%	37%	\$ 178.52	90%	\$ 160.67
51 - 150	\$ 169.76	\$ 163.32	70%	30%	\$ 167.83	86%	\$ 144.33
151 - 500	\$ 114.04	\$ 115.08	70%	30%	\$ 114.35	80%	\$ 91.48
501 - 2000	\$ 67.63	\$ 73.56	85%	15%	\$ 68.52	74%	\$ 50.71
2001-5000	\$ 66.50	\$ 74.13	95%	5%	\$ 66.88	68%	\$ 45.48
5000+	\$ 55.34	\$ 63.62	98%	2%	\$ 55.51	47%	\$ 26.09

**ASSUMPTIONS**

- 1) LONGER DROP LENGTHS AS DENSITY DECREASES
- 2) LABOR HOURS INCLUDE COST OF DROP TERMINATION, TRAFFIC CONTROL IN DENSE AREAS HOUSE ATTACH. AND SNI TERMINATION.
- 3) % ADL SOURCE - PARIS/FIMS
- 4) % SINGLE FAMILY SOURCE - 1990 CENSUS
- 5) MATL. COST SOURCE - NOVA
- 6) AERIAL/BURIED MIX BASED ON FORWARD LOOKING PLANT

**COST PROXY MODEL**

PAGE 12.0

**SERVING AREA INTERFACE (SAI)  
(AND CROSS CONNECTS)****INVESTMENT PER LINE**

DENSITY	SAI \$	% SAI	\$ PER LN	BLDG \$	% BLDG.	\$ PER LN	X CONN \$	% X CONN	\$ PER LN	TOTAL \$
	A	B	C=AxB	D	E	F=DxE	G	H	I=GxH	J=C+F+I
0 - 10	\$ 57.14	5%	\$ 2.86	\$ 75.85	8%	\$ 6.07	\$ 81.40	87%	\$ 70.82	\$ 79.74
11 - 50	\$ 45.71	25%	\$ 11.43	\$ 39.48	10%	\$ 3.95	\$ 75.40	65%	\$ 49.01	\$ 64.39
51 - 150	\$ 38.09	50%	\$ 19.05	\$ 28.88	14%	\$ 4.04	\$ 31.40	36%	\$ 11.30	\$ 34.39
151 - 500	\$ 21.16	80%	\$ 16.93	\$ 23.58	20%	\$ 4.72	\$ -	0%	N/A	\$ 21.65
501 - 2000	\$ 21.16	74%	\$ 15.66	\$ 18.65	26%	\$ 4.85	\$ -	0%	N/A	\$ 20.51
2001 - 5000	\$ 21.16	68%	\$ 14.39	\$ 18.57	32%	\$ 5.94	\$ -	0%	N/A	\$ 20.33
> 5000	\$ 17.25	47%	\$ 8.11	\$ 18.52	53%	\$ 9.82	\$ -	0%	N/A	\$ 17.92

**ASSUMPTIONS**

- 1) % USE OF DIFFERENT TYPES OF X-CONN DIFFERS BY DENSITY ZONE
- 2) % MIX OF BLDG TERM PER DENSITY ZONE IS FROM 1990 CENSUS SINGLE FAMILY / MULTI-FAMILY
- 3) % USE OF SAI/X-CONN IN DENSITY ZONES DEVELOPED BY A PANEL OF SUBJECT MATTER EXPERTS



**COST PROXY MODEL**

PAGE 13.0

**PAIR GAIN EQUIPMENT INVESTMENTS - FRC 257C  
(DIGITAL LOOP CARRIER)**

<b>DENSITY</b>	<b>FIXED \$</b>	<b>VARIABLE</b>	<b>CHAN.</b>
	<b>PER LOC</b>	<b>\$ PER PR</b>	<b>CAP.</b>
0-10	27800	121	24
11-50	34800	271	96
51-150	34800	271	96
151-500	115000	125	672
501-2000	115000	125	672
2001-5000	140000	125	1344
5001+	140000	125	1344

**SAMPLE INVESTMENT DEVELOPMENT****ONU - 24**

RT INCLUDING CABINET	\$15,000	
CO HDFB	\$2,000	
CO OPTICAL LINE UNIT	\$800	
MISC *	\$10,000	
RT PLUG-IN	\$2,400	
COT PLUG-IN	\$500	
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$30,700</b>	
	FIXED	\$27,800
	VARIABLE	\$2,900 (plug-ins)

**ONU - 96**

RT INCLUDING CABINET	\$20,000	
CO HDFB	\$2,000	
CO OPTICAL LINE UNIT	\$800	
MISC *	\$12,000	
RT PLUG-IN	\$24,000	
COT PLUG-IN	\$2,000	
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$60,800</b>	
	FIXED	\$34,800
	VARIABLE	\$26,000

**LITESPAN 2000 - 672 capacity**

RT INCLUDING CABINET	\$75,000	
MISC *	\$25,000	
COT	\$15,000	
RT PLUG-IN	\$67,200	
COT PLUG-IN	\$16,800	
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$199,000</b>	
	FIXED	\$115,000
	VARIABLE	\$84,000 (plug-ins)

**LITESPAN 2000 - 1344 capacity**

RT INCLUDING CABINET	\$100,000	
MISC *	\$25,000	
COT	\$15,000	
RT PLUG-IN	\$134,400	
COT PLUG-IN	\$33,600	
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$308,000</b>	
	FIXED	\$140,000
	VARIABLE	\$168,000

\* MISC INCLUDES BATTERIES, AC POWER, PED MOUNT, PAD. PROTECTORS, R/W, & SPLICING

**NOTE : THE NUMBERS SHOWN ARE NOT REAL INVESTMENTS  
WHICH ARE PROPRIETARY INFORMATION. THESE NUMBERS  
ARE ONLY INTENDED TO DEMONSTRATE THE METHOD.**

**COST PROXY MODEL**

PAGE 14.0

**% FEEDER**

<b>DENSITY</b>	<b>DISTANCE FROM C.O.</b>			
	<b>0-9 KFT</b>	<b>9-15 KFT</b>	<b>15-24 KFT</b>	<b>24 KFT+</b>
0-10	64%	60%	67%	82%
10-50	64%	60%	67%	82%
50-150	64%	60%	73%	85%
150-500	64%	73%	86%	92%
500-2000	68%	83%	89%	90%
2000-5000	77%	85%	89%	93%
5000+	85%	89%	93%	93%

This table is used to determine the feeder and distribution lengths when data is not available in existing data bases.

The % feeder table was developed from the 1254 loop samples taken in 1995 for the OANAD study. The cable and pair data was sorted by density zone and distance from the wire center. In those cases where there were no loops for a distance within a density zone, engineering judgment was used to arrive at the appropriate split.

**COST PROXY MODEL**

PAGE 15.0

**FORMULAS FOR INVESTMENT CALCULATIONS**

The purpose of Page 15.0 and 15.1 is to demonstrate the calculations used in developing the investment for the Outside Plant used in provisioning a local loop. In order to demonstrate these calculations it is necessary to establish the "A Cost" and "B Cost" for the cables. Since Pacific Bell's material costs are proprietary, dummy costs will be used:

TYPE OF CABLE	FRC	A COST \$ / Sheath-foot	B COST \$ / Pair-foot
Copper Underground Cable	5C	3.00	0.0100
Copper Buried Cable	45C	7.00	0.0100
Copper Aerial Cable	12C	3.00	0.0100
Fiber Underground Cable	85C	2.00	0.0600
Fiber Buried Cable	845C	8.00	0.0600
Fiber Aerial Cable	812C	2.00	0.0600

For this demonstration only A and B costs for one copper and one fiber cable is required in each formula. Normally each formula would be used for each type of cable

For demonstration purposes dummy cable sizes, modification factors, utilization percentages, pole line cost, conduit costs, and number of channels will also be used:

Copper Cable Size	550 pairs	Fiber Cable Size	48 fibers
Modification Factor	1.10	Cable Utilization	75%
Number of Channels	672	Equipment Utilization	80%
Pole Line Cost per Foot	4.02	Conduit Cost per Duct-Foot	12.00

The length for all calculation will be 1000 feet. In the model the length would be calculated by multiplying the feeder or distribution length by the appropriate % mix from the appropriate density zone to determine the cable length for each type of cable ( underground, buried and aerial ). In the calculation for fiber cables "4 Fibers" is multiplied

In the calculation for fiber cables, the cable size is multiplied by "4 Fibers". The calculation is required to reflect the 4 fibers used for each digital loop carrier system (two working fibers and two protection fibers).

**COST PROXY MODEL**

PAGE 15.1

**FORMULAS FOR CALCULATING THE  
INVESTMENT PER LOOP****COPPER CABLES - FRCs 5C, 12C, & 45C**  
(use Buried Copper Cable - 45C)
$$\text{Length} \times [(A\text{-Cost} + (B\text{-Cost} \times \text{Cable Size})) / \text{Cable Size} / \text{Cable Utilization} \times \text{Modifying Factor}]$$

$$1000 \times [(7.00 + (.0100 \times 550)) / 550 / .75 \times 1.10] = 33.33$$

**FIBER CABLES - FRCs 85C, 812C, & 845C**  
(use Aerial Fiber Cable - 812C)
$$\text{Length} \times \{[(A\text{-Cost} + (B\text{-Cost} \times \text{Cable Size})) / \text{Cable Size}] \times 4 \text{ Fibers} / \text{Cable Utilization} \times \text{Modifying Factor} /$$

$$(\# \text{ of Channels} \times \text{Equipment Utilization})\}$$

$$1000 \times \{[(2.00 + (.0600 \times 48)) / 48] \times 4 / .75 \times 1.10\} / (672 \times .80) = 1.11$$

**POLE LINE INVESTMENT - FRC 1C  
FOR COPPER CABLES****FEEDER**

$$\text{Length} \times (\text{Pole Line} / \text{Cable Size} / \text{Cable Utilization}) \times 2\text{nd Cable Factor}$$

$$1000 \times (4.02 / 550 / .75) \times .80 = 7.80$$

**DISTRIBUTION**

$$\text{Length} \times (\text{Pole Line} / \text{Cable Size} / \text{Cable Utilization})$$

$$1000 \times (4.02 / 550 / .75) = 9.75$$

**POLE LINE INVESTMENT - FRC 1C  
FOR FIBER CABLES**

$$\text{Length} \times [((\text{Pole Line} / \text{Cable Size}) \times 4 \text{ Fibers} / \text{Cable Utilization}) / (\text{Channels} \times \text{Equipment Utilization})]$$

$$1000 \times [((4.02 / 48) \times 4 / .75) / (672 \times .80)] = .83$$

**CONDUIT INVESTMENT - FRC 4C  
FOR COPPER CABLES**

$$\text{Length} \times (\text{Conduit} / \text{Cable Size} / \text{Cable Utilization})$$

$$1000 \times (12.00 / 550 / .75) = 29.09$$

**CONDUIT INVESTMENT - FRC 4C  
FOR FIBER CABLES**

$$\text{Length} \times [((\text{Conduit} / \text{Cable Size}) \times 4 \text{ Fibers} / \text{Cable Utilization}) / (\text{Channels} \times \text{Equipment Utilization})] / 3$$

## COST PROXY MODEL

HOW THE INVESTMENTS ARE CALCULATED  
FOR THE LOCAL LOOP

The purpose of this handout is to provide examples of how the COST PROXY MODEL calculates the investments for the local loop. In order to provide this example, the "A and B Costs" for cables must be shown. Since Pacific Bell's A and B Costs are considered proprietary, dummy A and B Costs will be used for these examples :

UNIT DESCRIPTION	FRC (Field Reporting Code)	A COST (\$ / SH-FT)	B COST (\$ / PR-FT or FIBER-FT)
COPPER UNDERGROUND CABLE	5C	3.00	0.0100
COPPER BURIED CABLE	45C	7.00*	0.0100
COPPER AERIAL CABLE	12C	3.00	0.0100
FIBER UNDERGROUND CABLE	85C	2.00	0.0600
FIBER BURIED CABLE	845C	8.00*	0.0600
FIBER AERIAL CABLE	812C	2.00	0.0600

\* Includes trenching cost

In addition to these unit investments, all the unit investments and modifying factors from the Cost Proxy Model package will be used. When an unit investment or factor from that package is used, the page number (PAGE 1.0) is shown for the table the investment or factor was taken from.

Typical customer record for a loop with feeder length UNDER 9000 feet (data contained in the record but not related to these calculations was omitted for clarity)

DISTRIBUTION

CLASS OF SERVICE	WIRE CENTER (CLLI)	SAI (TAPER CODE #)		DISTRIBUTIO DISTANCE	CUSTOMER LATITUDE	CUSTOMER LONGITUDE	SAI LATITUDE	SAI LONGITUDE	DIST. DENSITY	DIST. TERRAIN
1FR	PLMOCA11	210201	6050002004	1299	38.46	-120.81	38.47	-120.82	Z2	M

The first step made in the model is to determine the distribution cable lengths by technology ( UG, buried, and aerial). To accomplish this the model uses the "DISTRIBUTION DISTANCE" and the % MIX for distribution from the table on PAGE 1.0. The model uses the "DIST DENSITY" ( Z2 = Density 11 - 50 ) to determine which % mix to use from that table. The "DIST DENSITY" is also used to select the average distribution cable sizes from PAGE 2.0, the level of utilization from PAGE 3.0, and combined with the "DIST TERRAIN" ( M = Medium Difficulty ) it selects the modifying factor for terrain for each technology from PAGES 7.0, 8.0, and 9.0.

LENGTH OF DISTRIBUTION  
BY TECHNOLOGY

TYPE OF CABLE	DIST. LENGTH (PAGE 1.0)	% MIX	LENGTHS	AVERAGE DISTRIBUTION CABLE SIZE (PAGE 2.0)	AVERAGE DISTRIBUTION UTILIZATION % (PAGE 3.0)	MODIFYING FACTOR (PAGE 7.0, 8.0, & 9.0)
UNDERGROUND	1299	3%	39 Feet	243 Pairs	36%	1.00
BURIED	1299	60%	779 Feet	298 Pairs	36%	1.26
AERIAL	1299	37%	481 Feet	201 Pairs	36%	1.00

## COST PROXY MODEL

The investments for distribution cables are calculated using these numbers:

TYPE OF CABLE	FORMULA	Length x [(A-Cost + (B-Cost x Cable Size)) / Cable Size / Cable Utilization x Modifying Factor]
UNDERGROUND		39 feet x (( 3.00 + ( .0100 x 243 pairs )) / 243 pairs / 36% x 1.00 ) = \$2.42
BURIED		779 feet x (( 7.00 + ( .0100 x 298 pairs )) / 298 pairs / 36% x 1.26 ) = \$91.31
AERIAL		481 feet x (( 3.00 + ( .0100 x 201 pairs )) / 201 pairs / 36% x 1.00 ) = \$33.30

The investments for supporting structure are calculated using the cable lengths of the technology requiring the structure. Conduit uses the length of underground cable and pole line uses the aerial cable length. The model uses the "DIST DENSITY" ( Z2 = Density 11 - 50 ) and the "DIST TERRAIN" ( M = Medium Difficulty ) to determine which structure unit investments to use from PAGE 4.0 ( Pole Line ) and PAGE 6.2 ( Conduit for Distribution ). These investments are multiplied by the length and then divided by the cable size and cable utilization to develop the structure investment per pair-foot.

Pole Line Unit Investment (PAGE 4.0) = \$4.96

Conduit Unit Investment ( PAGE 6.2 ) = \$9.50

TYPE OF STRUCTURE	FORMULA	Length x (Pole Line / Cable Size / Cable Utilization)
POLE LINE		481 feet x ( 4.96 / 201 pairs / 36% ) = \$32.97
CONDUIT		39 feet x ( 9.50 / 243 pairs / 36% ) = \$4.24

The final investments to be determined for the distribution are the terminal and service drop investments. These investments are not calculated in the model, they're taken right off the tables on PAGE 10.0 ( Terminals ) and PAGE 11.0 ( Drops ) using the density zone for distribution.

Terminal Investment ( Density 11 - 50 ) = \$232.20

Service Drop Investment ( Density 11 -50 ) = \$160.67

### FEEDER

The investments for copper feeder cables and their supporting structure are calculated in a similar manner using the data from the customer record pertaining to the feeder plant.

CLASS OF SERVICE	WIRE CENTER ( CLLI )	SAI (TAPER CODE #)	CBG	FEEDER DISTANCE	WIRE CENTER LATITUDE	WIRE CENTER LONGITUDE	SAI LATITUDE	SAI LONGITUDE	FEEDER DENSITY	FEEDER TERRAIN
1FR	PLMOCA11	210201	6050002004	6300	38.48	-120.84	38.47	-120.82	Z2	M

### LENGTH OF COPPER FEEDER BY TECHNOLOGY

TYPE OF CABLE	FEEDER LENGTH	% MIX (PAGE 1.0)	LENGTHS	AVERAGE COPPER FEEDER CABLE SIZE (PAGE 2.0)	AVERAGE COPPER FEEDER UTILIZATION % (PAGE 3.0)	MODIFYING FACTOR (PAGE 7.0, 8.0, & 9.0)
UNDERGROUND	6300	39%	2457 Feet	952 Pairs	59%	1.00
BURIED	6300	16%	1008 Feet	182 Pairs	59%	1.26
AERIAL	6300	45%	2835 Feet	248 Pairs	59%	1.00

**COST PROXY MODEL**

The investments for copper feeder cables are calculated using these numbers:

<b>TYPE OF CABLE</b>	<b>FORMULA</b>	<b>Length x [(A-Cost + (B-Cost x Cable Size)) / Cable Size / Cable Utilization x Modifying Factor]</b>
UNDERGROUND		2457 feet x (( 3.00 + ( .0100 x 952 pairs )) / 952 pairs / 59% x 1.00 ) = \$54.77
BURIED		1008 feet x (( 7.00 + ( .0100 x 182 pairs )) / 182 pairs / 59% x 1.26 ) = \$104.32
AERIAL		2835 feet x (( 3.00 + ( .0100 x 248 pairs )) / 248 pairs / 59% x 1.00 ) = \$106.18

Pole Line Unit Investment (PAGE 4.2) = \$4.91

Conduit Unit Investment ( PAGE 5.0 ) = \$20.25

<b>TYPE OF STRUCTURE</b>	<b>FORMULA</b>	<b>Length x (Pole Line / Cable Size / Cable Utilization)</b>
POLE LINE		2835 feet x ( 4.91 / 248 pairs / 59% ) = \$95.13
CONDUIT		2457 feet x ( 20.25 / 952 pairs / 59% ) = \$88.58

The final investment for the feeder is the SAI ( Serving Area Interface ) and is obtained directly from the table on PAGE 12.0.

SAI Investment ( Density 11 - 50 ) = \$64.39

**SUMMARY OF OUTSIDE PLANT INVESTMENTS**

	<b>Description of Plant</b>	<b>Units</b>	<b>Investment</b>
<b>Distribution Plant</b>	Underground Copper Cable	39	\$2.42
	Buried Copper Cable	779	\$91.31
	Aerial Copper Cable	481	\$33.30
	Pole Line	481	\$32.97
	Conduit	39	\$4.24
	Terminal	1	\$232.20
	Service Drop	1	\$160.67
	<b>Total Distribution</b>		<b>\$557.11</b>
<b>Feeder Plant</b>	Underground Copper Cable	2457	\$54.77
	Buried Copper Cable	1008	\$104.32
	Aerial Copper Cable	2835	\$106.18
	Pole Line	2835	\$95.13
	Conduit	2457	\$88.58
	SAI	1	\$64.39
	<b>Total Feeder</b>		<b>\$513.37</b>
<b>TOTAL LOOP ( &lt; 9000" )</b>			<b><u>\$1,070.48</u></b>

## COST PROXY MODEL

Typical customer record for a loop with feeder length OVER 9000 feet (data contained in the record but not related to these calculations was omitted for clarity)

CLASS OF SERVICE	WIRE CENTER (CLLI)	SAI (TAPER CODE #)	CBG	DISTIB. DISTANCE	CUSTOMER LATITUDE	CUSTOMER LONGITUDE	SAI LATITUDE	SAI LONGITUDE	DIST. DENSITY	DIST. TERRAIN
1FR	STCKCA11	210701	6050002006	4622	38.41	-120.76	38.41	-120.78	Z2	M

The first step made in the model is to determine the distribution cable lengths by technology ( UG, buried, and aerial). To accomplish this the model uses the "DISTRIBUTION DISTANCE" and the % MIX for distribution from the table on PAGE 1.0. The model uses the "DIST DENSITY" ( Z2 = Density 11 - 50 ) to determine which % mix to use from that table. The "DIST DENSITY" is also used to select the average distribution cable sizes from PAGE 2.0, the level of utilization from PAGE 3.0, and combined with the "DIST TERRAIN" ( M = Medium Difficulty ) it selects the modifying factor for terrain for each technology from PAGES 7.0, 8.0, and 9.0

**LENGTH OF DISTRIBUTION  
BY TECHNOLOGY**

TYPE OF CABLE	DIST. LENGTH	% MIX (PAGE 1.0)	LENGTHS	AVERAGE DISTRIBUTION CABLE SIZE (PAGE 2.0)	AVERAGE DISTRIBUTION UTILIZATION % (PAGE 3.0)	MODIFYING FACTOR (PAGE 7.0, 8.0, & 9.0)
UNDERGROUND	4622	3%	139 Feet	243 Pairs	36%	1.00
BURIED	4622	60%	2773 Feet	298 Pairs	36%	1.26
AERIAL	4622	37%	1710 Feet	201 Pairs	36%	1.00

The investments for distribution cables are calculated using these numbers:

TYPE OF CABLE	FORMULA	Length x ((A-Cost + (B-Cost x Cable Size)) / Cable Size / Cable Utilization x Modifying Factor)
UNDERGROUND		139 feet x (( 3.00 + ( .0100 x 243 pairs )) / 243 pairs / 36% x 1.00 ) = \$8.63
BURIED		2773 feet x (( 7.00 + ( .0100 x 298 pairs )) / 298 pairs / 36% x 1.26 ) = \$325.04
AERIAL		1710 feet x (( 3.00 + ( .0100 x 201 pairs )) / 201 pairs / 36% x 1.00 ) = \$118.40

The investments for supporting structure are calculated using the cable lengths of the technology requiring the structure. Conduit uses the length of underground cable and pole line uses the aerial cable length. The model uses the "DIST DENSITY" ( Z2 = Density 11 - 50 ) and the "DIST TERRAIN" ( M = Medium Difficulty ) to determine which structure unit investments to use from PAGE 4.0 ( Pole Line ) and PAGE 6.2 ( Conduit for Distribution ). These investments are multiplied by the length and then divided by the cable size and cable utilization to develop the structure investment per pair-foot.

Pole Line Unit Investment (PAGE 4.0 ) = \$4.96

Conduit Unit Investment ( PAGE 6.2 ) = \$9.50

TYPE OF STRUCTURE	FORMULA	Length x (Pole Line / Cable Size / Cable Utilization)
POLE LINE		1710 feet x ( 4.96 / 201 pairs / 36% ) = \$117.21
CONDUIT		139 feet x ( 9.50 / 243 pairs / 36% ) = \$15.09



## COST PROXY MODEL

The final investments to be determined for the distribution are the terminal and service drop investments. These investments are not calculated in the model, they're taken right off the tables on PAGE 10.0 ( Terminals ) and PAGE 11.0 ( Drops ) using the density zone for distribution.

Terminal Investment ( Density 11 - 50 ) = \$232.20

Service Drop Investment ( Density 11 -50 ) = \$160.67

Since the feeder portion of this loop is OVER 9000, the investments are based on fiber feeder cables. The investments for fiber cables and their supporting structure are calculated in a similar manner using the data from the customer record pertaining to the feeder plant.

CLASS OF SERVICE	WIRE CENTER ( CLLI )	SAI (TAPER CODE #)	CBG	FEEDER DISTANCE	WIRE CENTER LATITUDE	WIRE CENTER LONGITUDE	SAI LATITUDE	SAI LONGITUDE	FEEDER DENSITY	FEEDER TERRAIN
1FR	STCKCA11	210701	6050002006	15400	38.41	-120.78	38.4	-121.73	Z3	M

**Note:** The FEEDER DENSITY for this loop is different from the distribution density ( Z3 = Density 51 - 150 ).

### LENGTH OF FIBER FEEDER BY TECHNOLOGY

TYPE OF CABLE	FEEDER LENGTH	% MIX (PAGE 1.0)	LENGTHS	AVERAGE FIBER CABLE CABLE SIZE (PAGE 2.0)	AVERAGE FIBER CABLE UTILIZATION % (PAGE 3.0)	MODIFYING FACTOR (PAGE 7.0, 8.0, & 9.0)
UNDERGROUND	15400	66%	10164 Feet	48 Fibers	67%	1.00
BURIED	15400	7%	1078 Feet	48 Fibers	67%	1.24
AERIAL	15400	27%	4158 Feet	24 Fibers	67%	1.00

The investments for fiber feeder cables are calculated using these numbers plus the "EQUIPMENT UTILIZATION" (PAGE 3.0) and the "CHANNEL CAPACITY" (PAGE 13.0):

Pair-Gain Equipment Utilization = 71%

Channel Capacity of Equipment = 96

TYPE OF CABLE	FORMULA	Length x [((A-Cost + (B-Cost x Cable Size)) / Cable Size ) x 4 Fibers / Cable Utilization x Modifying Factor] / (# of Channels x Equipment Utilization)
UNDERGROUND		$10164 \times \{[(2.00 + (.0600 \times 48)) / 48] \times 4 / .67 \times 1.00\} / (96 \times .71) = \$90.51$
BURIED		$1078 \times \{[(8.00 + (.0600 \times 48)) / 48] \times 4 / .67 \times 1.24\} / (96 \times .71) = \$26.54$
AERIAL		$4158 \times \{[(2.00 + (.0600 \times 24)) / 24] \times 4 / .67 \times 1.00\} / (96 \times .71) = \$87.00$

Pole Line Unit Investment (PAGE 4.2 ) = \$5.53

Conduit Unit Investment <9000 ft.( PAGE 5.0 ) = \$24.48

Conduit Unit Investment >9000 ft.( PAGE 6.0 ) = \$35.65

TYPE OF STRUCTURE	FORMULA	Length x [((Pole Line / Cable Size ) x 4 Fibers / Cable Utilization)) / (# of Channels x Equipment Utilization)]
	FORMULA	Length x [((Conduit / Cable Size ) x 4 Fibers / Cable Utilization) / (# of Channels x Equipment Utilization)] / 3 Innerducts Per Duct
POLE LINE		$4158 \times [((5.53 / 24) \times 4 / .67) / (96 \times .71)] = \$83.92$
CONDUIT	<9000 ft	$9000 \times [((24.48 / 48) \times 4 / .67) / (96 \times .71)] / 3 = \$134.01$
	>9000 ft.	$(10164-9000) \times [((35.65 / 48) \times 4 / .67) / (96 \times .71)] / 3 = \$25.24$

**COST PROXY MODEL**

The final investments for the fiber feeder are the SAI ( Serving Area Interface ) and DLC ( Digital Loop Carrier ) Equipment. The SAI investment can be obtained directly from the table on PAGE 12.0. The DLC investments are on PAGE 13.0. There are two investments for DLC, a fixed and a variable investment. The fixed investment is per location and is divided by the working lines to determine the "Fixed" investment per line. The working lines are calculated by multiplying the channel capacity by the pair-gain equipment utilization (Page 3.0). The variable investment is already an investment per line.

SAI Investment ( Density 51 - 150 ) = \$34.39

DLC Investments ( Density 51 - 150 ) :

Fixed =  $34800 / (96 \times .71) = \$510.56$

Variable = \$271.00

Total DLC Investment per line = \$781.56

**SUMMARY OF OUTSIDE PLANT INVESTMENTS**

	Description of Plant	Units	Investment
Distribution Plant	Underground Copper Cable	139	\$8.63
	Buried Copper Cable	2773	\$325.04
	Aerial Copper Cable	1710	\$118.40
	Pole Line	1710	\$117.21
	Conduit	139	\$15.09
	Terminal	1	\$232.20
	Service Drop	1	<u>\$160.67</u>
	Total Distribution		\$977.24
Feeder Plant	Underground Fiber Cable	10164	\$90.51
	Buried Fiber Cable	1078	\$26.54
	Aerial Fiber Cable	4158	\$52.20
	Pole Line	4158	\$83.92
	Conduit	10164	\$159.25
	SAI	1	\$34.39
	DLC	1	<u>\$781.56</u>
	Total Feeder		\$1,228.37
TOTAL LOOP ( > 9000" )			<u>\$2,205.61</u>

**COST PROXY MODEL**

PAGE 1.0

**FEEDER AND DISTRIBUTION CABLES****% MIX BY DENSITY ZONES**

<b>DENSITY</b>	<b>COPPER FEEDER &lt;9000'</b>			<b>FIBER FEEDER &gt;9000'</b>			<b>COPPER DISTRIBUTION</b>		
	<b>UG</b>	<b>BURIED</b>	<b>AERIAL</b>	<b>UG</b>	<b>BURIED</b>	<b>AERIAL</b>	<b>UG</b>	<b>BURIED</b>	<b>AERIAL</b>
0-10	21%	27%	52%	21%	27%	52%	0%	60%	40%
11-50	39%	16%	45%	39%	16%	45%	3%	60%	37%
51-150	66%	7%	27%	66%	7%	27%	5%	65%	30%
151-500	81%	3%	16%	81%	3%	16%	5%	65%	30%
501-2000	94%	1%	5%	94%	1%	5%	15%	70%	15%
2001-5000	97%	0.5%	2.5%	97%	0.5%	2.5%	20%	75%	5%
5001+	98.5%	0.5%	1%	98.5%	0.5%	1%	88%	10%	2%

**ASSUMPTIONS**

- 1 ) The % mix for copper feeder cables was developed from PLAN feeder information on feeder sections under 9000 feet. The mix by density zone was developed by sorting the feeder information by the density of the wire centers
- 2 ) The % mix for fiber feeder cables were assumed to be the same as the copper feeder cables.
- 3 ) The % mix by density zones for copper distribution cable was based on the following:
  - CPUC and local regulations which emphasize "out of sight" plant.
  - Buried distribution cable is first choice except in cases where terrain type would drive excessive costs.

**COST PROXY MODEL**

PAGE 2.0

**FEEDER AND DISTRIBUTION CABLES****AVERAGE CABLE SIZES BY DENSITY ZONES**

<b>DENSITY</b>	<b>COPPER FEEDER &lt;9000'</b>			<b>FIBER FEEDER &gt;9000'</b>			<b>COPPER DISTRIBUTION</b>		
	<b>UG</b>	<b>BURIED</b>	<b>AERIAL</b>	<b>UG</b>	<b>BURIED</b>	<b>AERIAL</b>	<b>UG</b>	<b>BURIED</b>	<b>AERIAL</b>
0-10	774	111	143	48	48	24	323	153	66
11-50	952	182	248	48	48	24	243	298	201
51-150	1280	379	446	48	48	24	305	255	234
151-500	1708	400	577	48	48	24	543	284	337
501-2000	2025	720	835	48	48	24	526	266	377
2001-5000	2426	1333	1256	48	48	24	561	302	410
5001+	2712	1649	1356	48	48	24	599	386	414

**ASSUMPTIONS**

1 ) The average sizes for copper feeder cables were developed from PLAN feeder information on feeder sections under 9000 feet. The copper feeder cables in these sections were resized to reflect the reduced copper demand in the sections due to the forward looking policy to serve all services with feeder lengths over 9000 feet via fiber.

2 ) The average size fiber cables were based on the sizes of the fiber cables placed during 1991 to 1994.

Underground fiber cable	85C	42.42 fibers
Buried fiber cable	845C	49.65 fibers
Aerial fiber cable	812C	20.86 fibers

3 ) The average cable sizes for copper distribution cables were developed from the 1995 loop samples taken for OANAD study.

## COST PROXY MODEL

PAGE 3.0

## AVERAGE UTILIZATION % BY DENSITY ZONES

DENSITY	COPPER FEEDER	FIBER FEEDER	PAIR-GAIN EQUIP.	DISTRIBUTION
	AVG UTILIZATION	AVG UTILIZATION	AVG UTILIZATION	AVG UTILIZATION
0-10	53%	67%	69%	36%
11-50	59%	67%	71%	36%
51-150	64%	67%	71%	37%
151-500	66%	67%	68%	38%
501-2000	68%	67%	66%	39%
2001-5000	67%	67%	63%	39%
5001+	59%	67%	66%	40%

**ASSUMPTIONS**

The above utilization percentages are derived as follows

## 1 ) Average Utilization Levels

Copper Feeder = EOY 94' actual utilization levels by density zone.

Fiber Feeder = Utilization levels based on a forward looking view of a residential network using 4 fibers per remote terminal system (2 fibers for transmit and receive and 2 fibers for protection).

Pair-Gain (Equipped) = EOY 94' actual utilization levels by density zone that measures working channels to the equipped capacity of the Remote Terminal (RT) - "Ready to Serve" (equipped with plug-ins).

Copper Distribution = Distribution plant is sized for two pairs per unit. The smallest standard cable size that covers this requirement is placed. These utilization levels also reflect second line usage by density zone.

The average utilization percentages were developed from information obtained from the EOY 1994 DCAS REPORT and were sorted into density zones using the 1994 densities for each wire center.

**COST PROXY MODEL**

PAGE 4.0

**DISTRIBUTION POLE LINE ( \$ PER LINEAR FOOT ) - FRC 1C**

<b>DENSITY</b>	<b>NORMAL</b>	<b>MED-DIF (ROCKS)</b>	<b>HIGH-DIF (ROCKH)</b>	<b>WATER</b>
0-10	4.84	4.96	5.69	4.96
11-50	4.84	4.96	5.69	4.96
51-150	5.45	5.69	6.42	5.69
151-500	5.45	5.69	6.42	5.69
501-2000	4.02	4.02	4.02	4.02
2001-5000	4.02	4.02	4.02	4.02
5001+	4.02	4.02	4.02	4.02

**ASSUMPTIONS**

1 ) The following assumptions were used for aerial plant placements using a "forward looking" philosophy:

- 1.1 The urban areas (densities over 500) would be buried or underground plant except in cases where pole line costs would be shared with other utilities ( joint pole agreements ).
- 1.2 The rural areas (densities 0 to 500) would be a combination of solely and jointly owned poles when buried plant was not feasible. The cost tables for 0 - 500 were based on 25% solely owned and 75% jointly owned poles.

2 ) Solely Owned Poles

- 2.1 Investments for solely owned poles were developed using the \$9.73 per foot of pole line from the PLAN/ESM cost deck and adjusted for density zone and type of terrain:
- 2.2 Modified the \$9.73 per foot for solely owned poles for density:
  - in density zones under 50 access lines per square mile, the modifying factors were developed to reflect lower costs for spotting material, easier work site access, less pavement, and less substructure congestion.
  - in density zones between 50 and 500 access lines per square mile, the modifying factors reflect normal placing costs.
- 2.3 Modified the \$9.73 per foot for solely owned poles by terrain:
  - no modification in "normal terrain.
  - modification factors in "med-difficulty terrain" reflect the increased placing costs due to hard or rocky soil.
  - modification factors in "high-difficulty terrain" reflect the increased placing costs due solid rock.
  - modification factors in "water" reflect costs similar to med-difficulty.

**Modified Investments for Solely Owned Poles**

<u>Density Zone</u>	<u>Mod. Fact.</u>	<u>Normal Terrain</u>	<u>Mod. Fact.</u>	<u>Med-Difficulty Terrain</u>	<u>Mod. Fact.</u>	<u>High-Difficulty Terrain</u>	<u>Mod. Fact.</u>	<u>Water</u>
0-10	0.75	\$7.30	0.80	\$7.78	1.10	\$10.70	0.80	\$7.78
11-50	0.75	\$7.30	0.80	\$7.78	1.10	\$10.70	0.80	\$7.78
51-150	1.00	\$9.73	1.10	\$10.70	1.40	\$13.62	1.10	\$10.70
151-500	1.00	\$9.73	1.10	\$10.70	1.40	\$13.62	1.10	\$10.70

**3 ) Jointly Owned Poles**

3.1 The investments for jointly owned pole line were developed using the purchase prices for poles and anchors from the Joint Pole Agreement with PG&E.

3.2 The cost were based on a 45' pole and a 23' attachment :  
 - joint pole purchase price is \$603  
 - joint screw anchor for 6M guy purchase price is \$115  
 - placement cost of 6M guy is \$86 (from PLAN/ESM cost deck)

3.3 Pole line cost per linear foot is based on 6 joint poles, 2 joint anchors, and 2 guys every 1000 feet.

**CALCULATION OF JOINTLY OWNED POLE LINE COST PER LINEAR FOOT**

6	Joint Poles	@	\$603	=	\$3,618
2	Joint Anchors	@	\$115	=	\$230
2	Joint Guys	@	\$86	=	\$172
TOTAL for 1000 ft.					<u>\$4,020</u>

$$\$4,020 \quad / \quad 1000 \text{ feet} \quad = \quad \$4.02$$

**4 ) Summarization of Pole Line Investments****Normal Terrain - Density 0 -10**

<u>Type</u>	<u>Investment</u>		<u>% Occurrence</u>		<u>Weighted Investment</u>
Solely Owned Poles	\$7.30	x	25%	=	\$1.83
Jointly Owned Poles	\$4.02	x	75%	=	\$3.02
<b>Melded Investment</b>					<u><b>\$4.84</b></u>

**High-Difficulty Terrain - Density 50 -150**

<u>Type</u>	<u>Investment</u>		<u>% Occurrence</u>		<u>Weighted Investment</u>
Solely Owned Poles	\$13.62	x	25%	=	\$3.41
Jointly Owned Poles	\$4.02	x	75%	=	\$3.02
<b>Melded Investment</b>					<u><b>\$6.42</b></u>

**COST PROXY MODEL**

PAGE 4.2

**FEEDER POLE LINE ( \$ PER LINEAR FOOT ) - FRC 1C**

<b>DENSITY</b>	<b>NORMAL</b>	<b>MED-DIF (ROCKS)</b>	<b>HIGH-DIF (ROCKH)</b>	<b>WATER</b>	<b>2nd CABLE FACTOR</b>
0-10	4.81	4.93	5.65	4.93	0.9935
11-50	4.79	4.91	5.63	4.91	0.9898
51-150	5.29	5.53	6.24	5.53	0.9720
151-500	5.19	5.42	6.11	5.42	0.9524
501-2000	3.58	3.58	3.58	3.58	0.8899
2001-5000	3.19	3.19	3.19	3.19	0.7926
5001+	2.89	2.89	2.89	2.89	0.7179

**ASSUMPTIONS**

1 ) The following assumptions were used for aerial plant placements using a "forward looking" philosophy:

- 1.1 The urban areas (densities over 500) would be buried or underground plant except in cases where pole line costs would be shared with other utilities ( joint pole agreements ).
- 1.2 The rural areas (densities 0 to 500) would be a combination of solely and jointly owned poles when buried plant was not feasible. The cost tables for 0 - 500 were based on 25% solely owned and 75% jointly owned poles.

2 ) Solely Owned Poles

- 2.1 Investments for solely owned poles were developed using the \$9.73 per foot of pole line from the PLAN/ESM cost deck and adjusted for density zone and type of terrain:
- 2.2 Modified the \$9.73 per foot for solely owned poles for density:
  - in density zones under 50 access lines per square mile, the modifying factors were developed to reflect lower costs for spotting material, easier work site access, less pavement, and less substructure congestion.
  - in density zones between 50 and 500 access lines per square mile, the modifying factors reflect normal placing costs
- 2.3 Modified the \$9.73 per foot for solely owned poles by terrain:
  - no modification in "normal terrain.
  - modification factors in "med-difficulty terrain" reflect the increased placing costs due to hard or rocky soil
  - modification factors in "high-difficulty terrain" reflect the increased placing costs due solid rock.
  - modification factors in "water" reflect costs similar to med-difficulty.

3 ) Second Cable on the Pole Line

- 3.1 The percent occurrence of a second cable on a pole line was based on the % of the aerial feeder that would require a cable over 1500 pairs. 1500 pairs is the largest aerial cable that would be placed. A second cable factor was developed by dividing 1 by 1 plus the percentage [  $1 / ( 1 + \% )$  ].



### Modified Investments for Solely Owned Poles

PAGE 4.3

	Mod.	Normal	Mod.	Med-Diff.	Mod.	High-Diff.	Mod.	
<u>Density Zone</u>	<u>Fact.</u>	<u>Terrain</u>	<u>Fact.</u>	<u>Terrain</u>	<u>Fact.</u>	<u>Terrain</u>	<u>Fact.</u>	<u>Water</u>
0-10	0.75	\$7.30	0.80	\$7.78	1.10	\$10.70	0.80	\$7.78
11-50	0.75	\$7.30	0.80	\$7.78	1.10	\$10.70	0.80	\$7.78
51-150	1.00	\$9.73	1.10	\$10.70	1.40	\$13.62	1.10	\$10.70
151-500	1.00	\$9.73	1.10	\$10.70	1.40	\$13.62	1.10	\$10.70

### 3 ) Jointly Owned Poles

3.1 The investments for jointly owned pole line were developed using the purchase prices for poles and anchors from the Joint Pole Agreement with PG&E.

3.2 The cost were based on a 45' pole and a 23' attachment :

- joint pole purchase price is \$603
- joint screw anchor for 6M guy purchase price is \$115
- placement cost of 6M guy is \$86 (from PLAN/ESM cost deck)

**3.3 Pole line cost per linear foot is based on 6 joint poles, 2 joint anchors, and 2 guys every 1000 feet.**

### CALCULATION OF JOINTLY OWNED POLE LINE COST PER LINEAR FOOT

Joint Poles	6 @	\$603	=	\$3,618
Joint Anchors	2 @	\$115	=	\$230
Joint Guys	2 @	\$86	=	\$172
TOTAL for 1000 ft.				\$4,020
\$4,020	/	1000 feet	=	\$4.02

### CALCULATION OF 2nd CABLE FACTOR

ZONE #	%	FACTOR
1	0.65%	0.9935
2	1.03%	0.9898
3	2.88%	0.9720
4	5.00%	0.9524
5	12.37%	0.8899
6	26.16%	0.7926
7	39.29%	0.7179

#### 4 ) Summarization of Pole Line Investments

Normal Terrain - Density 0 -10					
Type	Investment		% Occurrence	=	Weighted Investment
Solely Owned Poles	\$7.30	x	25%	=	\$1.83
Jointly Owned Pole	\$4.02	x	75%	=	\$3.02
					<u>\$4.84</u>
					0.9935
					<b>\$4.81</b>

High-Difficulty Terrain - Density 50 -150					
Type	Investment		% Occurrence	=	Weighted Investment
Solely Owned Poles	\$13.62	x	25%	=	\$3.41
Jointly Owned Pole	\$4.02	x	75%	=	\$3.02
					<u>\$6.42</u>
					0.972
					<b>\$6.24</b>